2022-23 Faculty Hiring Priorities Committee (FHPC) Rubric

Draft 5/19/2022

Note: Examples listed under criteria below represent the types of indicators or information that would typically be considered in faculty position request ratings.

*IESE provided data

+Authors to provide data that is not available from IESE but still relevant.

Point calculations: The proposal will be ranked on a 5-point scale – whole numbers only.

Criteria (All Equally Weighted)		2 nainte	3 Points	4 points	5 Points
	1 Point Very Minimal	2 points Minimal	Moderate	Significant	Very Significant
	, , ,				,
Criteria 1: Data/Evidence in Support of Need { ink }	Very Minimal	Minimal need	Moderate need	Significant need	Very Significant need
Instructional examples <i>may</i> include the following:	need as	as substantiated	as substantiated	as substantiated	as substantiated by
*-Full-Time/Total faculty (%)	substantiated by	by appropriate	by appropriate	<mark>by appropriate</mark>	appropriate data
+-Diversification of Staff	appropriate data	<mark>data</mark>	data	<mark>data</mark>	
Engaging in Professional Development related to equity and					
diversity in hiring and equal employment opportunity					
*-Number of full-time faculty (provided by the Academic Senate)					
*-Load cushion					
*-Productivity: Fill rate and WSCH/FTEF					
Student Services/Library examples <i>may</i> include the following:					
+-Counselor- or Librarian-to-Student Ratio					
+-Number of Visits/Appointments					
+-Number of Workshops/Participants					
Criteria 2: Program Student Achievement and Potential Growth	Very Minimal	Minimal growth	Moderate	Significant growth	Very Significant
Instructional examples <i>may</i> include the following:	growth potential	<mark>potential</mark>	growth potential	potential and/or	growth potential
*-Enrollment { <u>link</u> }	and/or limited	and/or limited	and/or	<mark>limited</mark>	and/or significant
*-Success and Retention Rates { <u>link</u> }	improvement in	<mark>improvement in</mark>	moderate	<mark>improvement in</mark>	improvement or
*-Closing Equity Gaps in Access and Outcomes	closing equity	closing equity	improvement or	<mark>closing equity</mark>	substantive work in
Engaging in Professional Development to close equity gaps and	gaps, student	gaps, student	planning in	gaps, student	improving equity
increase student retention	success, retention,	success,	closing equity	success, retention,	gaps, student success,
	completion or	retention,	gaps, student	<mark>completion or</mark>	retention, completion
Engaging in Professional Development to modify curriculum to	throughput as	completion or	success, retention,	<mark>throughput as</mark>	or throughput as
reflect the college's diverse student population	substantiated by	throughput as	completion or	substantiated by	substantiated by
	appropriate data	substantiated	throughput as	appropriate data	appropriate data
Ensuring equitable access to courses that have pre-requisites in		<mark>by appropriate</mark>	substantiated by		
order to remove barriers for students, especially those from		<mark>data</mark>	appropriate		
historically marginalized groups			data		

Criteria (All Equally Weighted)	1 Point	2 points	3 Points	4 points	5 Points
	Very Minimal	Minimal	Moderate	Significant	Very Significant
+-Throughput/Course Sequence Completion *-Degrees/Certificates Awarded {link} *-Labor Market Demand {link} +-Other/Related Regional College Programs Student Services/Library examples may include the following: +-Wait Times for Counseling Appointments +-Improved Achievement for Cohort Students					
+-Other Data Demonstrating Unmet Need or Growth, Including Data from Comparison Colleges, Gate Count, Students Served, etc.					
Criteria 3: Critical Need (Critical to the Program/and Institution) +Examples may include the following: -Issues with Federal or State Mandates -Replacement for Recent Retirement or Vacancy -Specialty Areas within Discipline/Service Area results in difficulty in finding part-time faculty -Required for Program, Courses, or Specific Service to Continue -Ranking within division (per division dean feedback) Supporting students from historically marginalized groups	Lack of position would very minimally impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would minimally impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would moderately impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would significant impact the program's ability to support student success	Lack of position would very significantly impact the program's ability to support student success
Criteria 4: Support of Strategic Plan {link} +Examples may include the following: Ability of Department to Innovate and Meet Changing Needs in support of student success and equity Exploring and adopting strategies to reduce the cost of textbooks and materials as a means to removing barriers to student success Align with college vision, mission and values (link) Direct Support of at least 1 of 5 Strategic Goals (link) Note: the more goals addressed the stronger the request -Increase equitable access (enrollment) -Eliminate equity gaps in course success (passing grade in class) -Increase persistence eliminate equity gaps (re-enrolling the subsequent semester or year)	Lack of position would very minimally impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would minimally impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would moderately impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would significantly impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals	Lack of position would very significantly impact the College's capacity to achieve its strategic goals
-Increase completion and eliminate equity gaps (graduating with a degree/certificate, or transferring) -Increase hiring and retention of diverse employees to reflect the students and communities we serve -Acceleration -Guided Student Pathways -Student Validation & Engagement -Organizational Health (e.g., SLO/ILO assessment, accreditation,					

Criteria (All Equally Weighted)	1 Point	2 points	3 Points	4 points	5 Points
	Very Minimal	Minimal	Moderate	Significant	Very Significant
interdepartmental collaboration/support, overall program improvement efforts, etc.)					

Total Score:

Notes:

- (1) In the event of unforeseen circumstances, such as tenure failure, resignation of a tenure-track faculty member, or internal promotion, the FHPC will develop an off-cycle request process and provide recommendations to the President.
- (2) No positions will be automatically replaced regardless of the circumstances.
- (3) The proposal will be ranked on a 5-point scale whole numbers only.

FHPC: 1st Read: 5/05/22 2nd Read/Approval: 5/19/2022

Academic Senate: 1st Review/2nd Read/Approval: